**Settlement Agreement Compliance Rating** Comments Requirement

#### **II. Policy Review and Implementation**

Responsible Party: Major Jose Gonzalez (PCS), Major Um Set Ramos (Training), Major Eric Gonzalez (SOS), Point of contact: Lt. J. Guillot (Training), Capt. S. MacDonald (PCS), George Wysong (Police

Legal), Lt. W. Gonzalez (TRU) and Lt. M. Sodre (SWAT). #27 MPD will continue to **Substantial Compliance** During the reporting period, develop and implement additional new or revised policies policies on constitutional were submitted to the policing principles and best Independent Reviewer to include practices. In addition, MPD Red Light Camera (repealed D.O. agrees to maintain (and 5, Chapter 7), ShotSpotter (new develop if necessary) D.O. 11, Chapter 27), Expandable comprehensive, agency-Baton (revised D.O. 15, Chapter 6), were completed during the wide policies and procedures that reflect full reporting period and a copy was implementation of every submitted to the Independent requirement of this Reviewer. agreement. This requirement includes maintenance of policies governing the Policy Review Committee (PRC), the Professional Compliance Section (PCS), the High Liability Review Board (HLB), the Major Case Team for police shootings investigations, the Tactical Operations Section, the Community Relations Section, and any other MPD has taken or intends to take to ensure compliance with this Agreement. #28 Within one month of the **Substantial Compliance** MPD submitted its action plan on entry of this agreement, April 10, 2016 to the MPD submitted an action Independent Reviewer and plan to DOJ for the remains in compliance. implementation of this entire Agreement, including designation of staff responsible for

Miami Police Department Final Self-Assessment Compliance Report  January 11, 2020 - March 15, 2020			
	Settlement Agreement Requirement	Compliance Rating	Comments
	Requirement		
	implementing the		
	provisions.		
	1.		
#29	By June 10, 2016, MPD agrees to submit any new and revised policies, procedures and manuals created or revised to achieve compliance with the Agreement to DOJ for review and comment prior to publication and implementation. DOJ shall complete its review within one month. If MPD and DOJ disagree on an aspect of a policy that is relevant to this Agreement, the Independent Reviewer shall	Substantial Compliance	All revised policies were submitted to the Independent Reviewer and the United States Department of Justice upon their approval by the Chief of Police.
	resolve any issues.		
	,		
#30	All staff responsible for	Substantial Compliance	On April 18, 2017, DOJ
	implementing the policies shal	II	Agreement Training was
	be trained on the new or		conducted using a power-point
	revised policies and procedure	es	presentation that included
	as soon as practicable, but not		mandatory training to staff
	later than March 10, 2017.		members regarding the DOJ
	MPD shall maintain		agreement and its provisions, as
	documentation sufficient to		well as a review of MPD
	demonstrate (a) the status and	t	reporting process to DOJ from
	completion of staff training		March 10, 2016, through January
	requirements and (b) that staf		10, 2017. MPD staff members
	are aware of the requirements	5	were provided with a copy of the
	of all policies and procedures.		presentation for review and
	In addition, MPD will continue		application. A sign-in log of the
	to disseminate any new or		DOJ agreement training
	revised policies related to this		documented the staff members
	Agreement through roll call		who attended the training. The
	briefings and official bulletins,		Training Section Commander
	and departmental emails.		conducted DOJ Agreement
			Training from June 19, 2018,
			through June 20, 2018, for newly
			promoted and current staff
			members. The training included

Settlement Agreement Compliance Rating Comments
Requirement

			revised and new DOJ policies and
			procedures.
Respo Gonza Depu	ficer-Involved Shooting Investigat onsible Party: Deputy Chief R. Papi alez (PCS), Major Antonio Diaz (CII ty Chief), Capt. Rolando Padron (IA ney George Wysong (Police Legal)	er (Office of the Chief), Majo D). Point of contact: E.O. Nat A), Lt. Ricky Lopez (Homicide	<del>-</del>
#32	MPD will continue to ensure that each Critical Firearms Discharge will be reviewed for accountability, legality, training, tactics and equipment issues.	Substantial Compliance	The MPD continues to ensure that each Critical Firearm Discharge is reviewed for accountability, legality, training, tactics, and equipment issues through the Firearm Review Board process. During this reporting period of January 11, 2020 through March 15, 2020 there were no additional Discharge of Firearms cases to review.  There are no open cases older than September 2018.
#33	MPD policy shall continue to require officers to cooperate with administrative investigations, including appearing for an interview when ordered by a MPD investigator and providing all requested documents and evidence, subject to the provisions of the 112.531 – 112.535 Florida Statues (the "Law Enforcement Officers' Bill of Rights") and the protections of <i>Garrity vs New Jersey</i> and its progeny and any other applicable law.	Substantial Compliance	MPD continues to require officers to cooperate with administrative investigations, including appearing for an interview when ordered by an MPD or FDLE investigator, providing all requested documents, and evidence, subject to the provisions of the 112.531 – 112.535 Florida Statues (the "Law Enforcement Officers' Bill of Rights") and the protections of Garrity vs New Jersey and its progeny and any other applicable law.
#34	MPD shall continue to provide shooting officers the	Substantial Compliance	MPD and its investigative partner FDLE continue to provide

	Miami Police Department Final Self-Assessment Compliance Report  January 11, 2020 - March 15, 2020			
	Settlement Agreement Requirement	Compliance Rating	Comments	
	opportunity to give voluntary statements as soon as practicable after each shooting but in any case, within no more than 72 hours, absent exigent circumstances, and will document same.		shooting officers the opportunity to give voluntary statements as soon as practicable after each shooting and documents same.	
#35	Potential criminal investigation or prosecution, MPD to continue its efforts to complete administrative investigation.	Substantial Compliance	During the reporting period, MPD has made documented efforts to complete the administrative investigation by consulting with FDLE and SAO on the status of cases as well as appropriate interviews of principal officer during the administrative investigation. Language was added in revised D.O. 6 Chapter 21, subsection 5.13.1 (Use of Force & Administrative Procedures) stating "MPD will continue to make documented efforts to work with the Office of the State Attorney to facilitate prompt determination of cases. In addition, MPD Internal Affairs Section conducts an internal case file review monthly to thoroughly review all open cases and coordinate with the Homicide Unit, as well as SAO and FDLE, for those cases dealing with critical firearms discharges.	
#36	In no event shall MPD permit full resolution of an administrative investigation to extend beyond 180 days after conclusion of the criminal investigation, absent exigent circumstances and agreed to by DOJ and the City.	Substantial Compliance	MPD has procedures which prohibit full resolution of an administrative investigation to extend beyond 180 days after the conclusion of the criminal case. These procedures have been adopted in the Internal Affairs Section SOP's and in the revised Internal Investigations D.O. 2, Chapter 2. In addition,	

	Miami Police Department Final Self-Assessment Compliance Report  January 11, 2020 - March 15, 2020			
	Settlement Agreement Requirement	Compliance Rating	Comments	
			the Internal Affairs Section "conducts a monthly case management meeting" between the investigators and the section's deputy commander to discuss and provide a status of all administrative investigations.	
#37	MPD ensuring that MPD office involved in a shooting not be returned to active duty until:  a. The agency's contracted psychologist clears the officer's return to active duty.  b. A post shooting briefing is held with FDLE.  c. Chief of Police written approval for the officer's return to active duty.  d. Evidence review supports officer's return to active duty.  e. The officer completes any refresher training that the Chief of Police deems appropriate.	Substantial Compliance	MPD continues to ensure that any officer involved in a critical firearms discharge is not returned to active duty status until all the requirements included in paragraph 37 of the agreement are fulfilled. In addition, a "Return to Duty" memorandum is created in each case documenting the compliance. These requirements were also added to Internal Affairs Section SOP's and included in a revision to D.O. 6, Chapter 21, subsection 5.7.1. (Use of Force & Administrative Procedures).	
#38	Maintain Incident Tracking System (ITS)	Substantial Compliance	During this reporting period, MPD has continued to maintain its Incident Tracking System (ITS) for officer involved shootings as well as monitoring officers who may engage in misconduct and bring about corrective action through structured supervisory review. In addition, on December 10, 2018, the Internal Affairs Section further modified the ITS process by implementing a Blue Team EI-ITS Early Intervention Dashboard which provides "Real-Time" ITS information monitoring by supervisory and command level	

Miami Police Department Final Self-Assessment Compliance Report  January 11, 2020 - March 15, 2020			
	Settlement Agreement Requirement	Compliance Rating	Comments
			personnel. The Real-Time ITS information allows for an even greater degree of early intervention for officers.
#39	MPD will maintain the Professional Compliance Section (PCS), which reports directly to the Chief of Police (COP). Maintain the High Liability Incident Review Board (HLB), and continue reviewing serious uses of force and pursuits	Substantial Compliance	During this reporting period, MPD has maintained the Professional Compliance Section (PCS) which reports directly to the Chief of Police (COP). During this reporting period of January 11, 2020 through March 15, 2020. An HLB was scheduled for early March but has been postponed due to COVID19. These cases will be extensively reviewed for high liability incidents and corrective actions involving policy and/or training deficiencies. Future HLB hearings will continue throughout the year.
#40	MPD shall continue its practice of having a commander from the training section participate in the Firearms Review Board and post-incident review meeting. MPD shall develop and effective mechanism to ensure that lessons learned from officer-involved shooting reviews are incorporated into policy and officer training, and that such incorporation is verified.	Substantial Compliance	MPD continues its practice of incorporating a commander from the Training Section to participate in the Firearms Review Board and post-incident review meetings. During this reporting period of January 11, 2020 through March 15, 2020 there were no additional Discharge of Firearms cases to review pursuant to this Final Self-Assessment report.  There are no open cases older than September 2018.
			1

Settlement Agreement Compliance Rating Comments
Requirement

#### IV. Supervision

Responsible Party: Assistant Chief Manuel Morales (FOD), Major Eric Gonzalez (SOS), Major Esther Farmer (PRMS), Major Jose Rodriguez (ISS), Point of contact: Lt. W. Gonzalez (TRU), Sgt. Juan Nodal (FOD), Sgt. Adnnan Anjum (Staffing Detail).

#41 MPD first line supervisors shall be held accountable for providing the close and effective supervision necessary to direct and guide officers, as described in Departmental Orders 11 (Patrol), Departmental Order 6 (Use of Force & Administrative Procedures) and other relevant Departmental Orders, standard operating procedures and established guidelines.

#### **Substantial Compliance**

During this reporting period, MPD has continued to hold first line supervisors accountable for providing the close and effective supervision of their subordinates as described in Departmental Order 11 (Patrol) and Departmental Order 6, Chapter 21 (Use of Force & Administrative Procedures).

#42 MPD will continue the practice of assigning all patrol officers and officers in the Tactical Operations Section units to a single, consistent, clearly identified first-line supervisor. First line supervisors will continue to be assigned to and work the same days and hours as the officers they are assigned to supervise, absent extenuating circumstances.

#### **Substantial Compliance**

MPD has continued ensuring all officers assigned to patrol, and the units of the Specialized Operations Section have a clearly identified first-line supervisor with the same work days and duty hours as the officers they are assigned to supervise. The number of supervisors assigned to patrol remains at 72. These first line supervisory slots are assigned to supervise a maximum of eight (8) officers with the same work days and duty hours. In the Investigative Support Section (ISS), the Tactical Robbery Detail has one (1) sergeant to supervise six (6) officers and the Felony Apprehension Team (FAT) Detail has one (1) sergeant to supervise six (6) officers. Both sergeants have the same work days and duty hours as the officers they supervise.

Miami Police Department Final Self-Assessment Compliance Report  January 11, 2020 - March 15, 2020			
	Settlement Agreement Requirement	Compliance Rating	Comments
			SWAT has two (2) sergeants to supervise eight (8) officers with the same work days and duty hours.
#43	First-line supervisors of patro officers and officers assigned Tactical Operations Section units shall be assigned to supervise no more than five teight officers ("span of control"). The span of control will be based on the nature of the duties that any officer or group of officers performs. Of duty first-line supervisors will be available throughout their shift to respond to the field to provide supervision to officer under their direct command and, as needed, to provide supervisory assistance to other units.	n-	During this reporting period, MPD has ensured compliance with no more than eight officers to one sergeant (span of control) in patrol and the Specialized Operations Section ("SOS") as reflected in the DOJ agreement. In addition, a new D.O. 6, Chapter 15 (Departmental Staffing Detail) was created and formulated to monitor the span of control of officers assigned to patrol and the SOS. The Staffing Detail and SOS have been cooperating effectively to monitor span of control.
#44	The City and MPD shall continue to assess the current span of control within three months of the effective date and re-assess every four months after implementation and shall retain the number of supervisors necessary to achieve the required span of control subject to the limitations set forth in the collective bargaining Agreements and civil service rules.		MPD has assessed the current span of control on January 15, February 12, and March 11, 2020 (every four months in accordance with the DOJ agreement) and determined that the span of control is sufficient. MPD has ensured the number of supervisors required for span of control is maintained. In addition, D.O. 6, Chapter 15 (Departmental Staffing Detail) was created and formulated to monitor the span of control of officers assigned to patrol and the SOS. This departmental order directs the Staffing Detail to assess the span of control every four months for officers assigned to patrol and the SOS, as well provide a quarterly report to the

Miami Police Department Final Self-Assessment Compliance Report January 11, 2020 - March 15, 2020			
	Settlement Agreement Requirement	Compliance Rating	Comments
			PCS (Professional Compliance Section), and DOJ (Department of Justice) compliance coordinator.
#45	MPD shall continue to ensure consistent supervision by first-line supervisors who are on extended leave and shall reassign officers to a first-line supervisor when the currently assigned first-line supervisor has been or is expected to be absent for longer than six weeks.	Substantial Compliance	MPD has ensured consistent supervision by first-line supervisors for subordinates of supervisors who are expected to be absent for longer than six (6) weeks. D.O. 6, Chapter 15 (Departmental Staffing Detail) was created and formulated for the Staffing Detail's personnel to monitor the span of control and reassign an active first-line supervisor to monitor the subordinates of a first-line supervisor who is expected to be absent for longer than six weeks. Currently, there is no supervisor on extended leave over six (6) weeks.
#46	Captains and Lieutenants will continue to closely and effectively supervise the first line supervisors and officers under their command. MPD Captains and Lieutenants will continue to ensure that all first line supervisors and officers under their command comply with MPD policy, state and federal law, and the requirements of this Agreement.	Substantial Compliance	During this reporting period, MPD has ensured that Captains and Lieutenants maintained supervision over their first-line supervisors and officers under their command. This assured compliance with MPD policies, state, and federal laws, and the DOJ Agreement. In addition, MPD continues to provide training on curriculums known as the Miami Agreement Training (MAT) and the Miami Agreement Supervisory Training (MAST). The curriculum covers supervisory training and compliance with MPD policies, as well as state and federal laws, and the DOJ agreement. Furthermore, in monthly COMPSTAT (Computer Statistics) meetings,

Miami Police Department Final Self-Assessment Compliance Report			
	Settlement Agreement Requirement	Compliance Rating	Comments
#47	MPD will continue to ensure that captains and lieutenants any level are held accountable for the quality and effectiveness of their supervision, including whether captains and lieutenants identify and effectively response.	r nd	Commanders are required to conduct monthly meetings with their field duty Lieutenants and first-line supervisors to provide vital information to line officers, as well as obtain feedback to be transmitted up the chain of command for evaluation.  During this reporting period, MPD ensured that Captains and Lieutenants were held accountable for the quality and effectiveness of their supervision. This included the identification and effective response to uses of force, misconduct, as part of their
V. Sp	to uses of force or misconduct as part of their performance evaluations and through non-disciplinary corrective action, through the initiation of form investigation and the disciplinary process.  Supervisors shall be subject to discipline for failure to report and remedy misconduct they knew or reasonably should have known occurred. MPD shall continue to develop and implement metrics to assess supervisors' performance.	or al	misconduct, as part of their performance evaluations and through non-disciplinary corrective action, or through the initiation of formal investigation and the disciplinary process. In addition, annual evaluations, complaints, and COMPSTAT (Computer Statistics) meetings provided accountability for effective levels of supervision from the Commanders to the rest of the levels of supervision to include the Lieutenants, first-line supervisors, and line officers. This is further fortified in the revised D.O. 2, Chapter 2 (Internal Investigation) and D.O. 6, Chapter 21 (Use of Force and Administrative Procedures).
Responsible Party: Major Eric Gonzalez (SOS), Major Jose Rodriguez (ISS).  Point of contact: Lt. W. Gonzalez (TRU), Lt. M. Sodre (SWAT).			
#48	Within two months of the ent of this Agreement, MPD shall provide to DOJ for review and approval its criteria for		The "Tactical Operations Section" was disbanded, and its elements were distributed to other sections of the MPD.

Settlement Agreement Compliance Rating Comments
Requirement

recruitment and admission to While SWAT remains part of the **Specialized Operations Section** the MPD's specialized units, including Tactical Operations "SOS," the Investigative Support Section ("TOS") units. MPD Section "ISS" is now responsible shall maintain eligibility criteria for many of the former TOS and selection devices for elements. During this reporting assignment to TOS units that period, MPD has ensured that emphasize demonstrated the eligibility criteria for capacity to carry out the recruitment and admission to mission of a TOS unit in a SWAT and the Tactical Robbery constitutional manner. Officers Unit (includes the FAT (Felony assigned to TOS units who are Apprehension Team) Detail has unable to maintain eligibility been sufficiently maintained. shall be removed from the TOS MPD produced inter-office units. The MPD shall monitor memorandums from the TRU the list of names of all officers Deputy Commander to the and supervisors assigned to TOS Section Commander for the units on a quarterly basis to review period as well as an interassess and adjust its ongoing office memorandum from the personnel and staffing needs. SWAT Deputy Commander to the Section Commander for the rating period. The list of names of all officers and supervisors assigned to SOS in the eligibility list are monitored on a quarterly basis to assess and adjust its ongoing personnel and staffing needs. Furthermore, the **Investigative Support Section** (ISS), Tactical Investigations Unit, included an open register for the period of February 18, 2019, for a potential opening of a Sergeant and Officer(s) in the Tactical Robbery Unit (TRU)-Felony Apprehension Team (FAT) Detail. The open registers included criteria for assignment to TRU and FAT which must be demonstrated and met in order to be considered for interview and selection. #49 MPD shall continue to ensure **Substantial Compliance** During this reporting period, that operating protocols for MPD ensured that the operating

Miami Police Department Final Self-Assessment Compliance Report		
January 11, 2020 - March 15, 2020		
Settlement Agreement Compliance Rating Comments		
Requirement		

	TOS units are consistent with		protocols for SOS were
	the agency-wide use of force		consistent with the revised Use
	policies implemented to comply		of Force Policy under D.O. 6,
	with this Agreement.		Chapter 21 (Use of Force &
	with this Agreement.		Administrative Procedures). The
			operating protocols for SOS have
			been fortified and included in
			their SOP's. In addition,
			members of the SOS and ISS
			(TRU, FAT, and SWAT) have
			included after action reports,
			operational plans, worksheets,
			and monthly statistical
			information of incident reporting
			for the reporting period.
			for the reporting period.
#50	MDD shall continue to probibit	Cubetantial Caraclians	In accordance with revised SWAT
#50	MPD shall continue to prohibit	Substantial Compliance	
	SWAT units from conducting		SOPs and D.O. 12, Chapter 6
	general patrol and policing		Special Threat Response Unit
	functions while they are on a		(STRU), MPD continues to
	specialized assignment absent		prohibit SWAT units from
	exigent circumstances.		conducting general patrols and
			policing functions while they are
			on a specialized assignment
			absent exigent circumstances.
			Both officer member actions and
			supervisory decisions strictly
			adhere to the SWAT detail's
			operational protocols during
			deployment. In addition, the
			approval of the SWAT chain of
			command must be obtained for
			any incident where there is a
			request for SWAT to respond.
			Unless there is a minimum of
			twelve (12) to fourteen (14)
			personnel to include a team
			leader and a SWAT commander,
			then a SWAT Team does not
			respond.
	1		
#51	MPD will continue to require	Substantial Compliance	During this reporting period SOS
	officers assigned to TOS units,		has continued to document in
	while on a specialized		writing all law enforcement
	assignment, to document in		activities while on specialized

	Miami Police Department Final Self-Assessment Compliance Report  January 11, 2020 - March 15, 2020			
	Settlement Agreement Requirement	Compliance Rating	Comments	
	writing all law enforcement activities, including operational plans and after-action reports in consistent formats for all call outs and deployments. Supervisors shall conduct documented regular reviews of the TOS' law enforcement activities to ensure their compliance with applicable laws and MPD policies and procedures.	II-	assignment, including Operational Plans, After Action Reports, call outs, and deployments. During this reporting period SOS, ISS, Tactical Robbery Unit (TRU), and its FAT (Felony Apprehension Team Detail) included operational worksheets which included a brief synopsis of the case, as well as an apprehension log with detailed information for record purposes about the subject(s) arrest, case #, charges and incident location.	
	MPD shall continue to track, analyze and take appropriate action if necessary to address tactical deficiencies or policy violations by TOS unit members, including recommendations for changes to training or policy; transferring individuals; and/o initiating disciplinary action as necessary. MPD will document this process and report on its successes and challenges.		MPD continues to track, analyze and take appropriate action, as necessary, to address tactical deficiencies or policy violations by SOS members. During this reporting period, SOS members eligibility requirements were reviewed by examining annual evaluations, worksheets, commendations and reprimands. In addition, the officer's eligibility to remain in the unit depended on the Incident Tracking System (ITS) which was individually reviewed by the unit's deputy commander and a memorandum was drafted and submitted through the chain of command with the recommendation to remain in the specialized unit.	
-	onsible Party: Major Um Set Ra of contact: Lt. Jean Paul Guillo			
#53	Any new training expressly required by the terms of the DOJ agreement shall be delivered within one year of the	Substantial Compliance	MPD has updated its Miami Agreement Training (MAT) program for 2019-2020. The MAT training began on	

	January 11, 2020 - March 15, 2020			
	Settlement Agreement Requirement	Compliance Rating	Comments	
	effective date (03/10/2016), and annually thereafter.  A. New training delivered by		September 10, 2019 and will continue through May 2020. The training sessions were conducted bi-weekly and were comprised of	
	deadline of 03/10/2017.  B. Submit comprehensive		twenty-seven (27) hours of total training. In addition, the Miami	
	training delivery schedule within four months (07/10/2016) of the effective date.		Agreement Supervisory Training (MAST) has been updated for 2019-2020 and was provided to supervisors from the rank of Sergeant of Police up to the rank	
	Tracking, delivery and completion of all required training by deadline of 03/10/2017		of Captain of Police. The MAST training began December 3, 2019 and will continued through April 24, 2020. The course is	
			comprised of a forty (40) hours classroom practicum exercise. The organizational goal is for all supervisors from the rank of Police Sergeant up to Police	
			Captain to successfully complete the MAT training before	
			In addition, all records and files from previous training are ready	
			for inspection and review.	
#54	Firearm Training Program	Substantial Compliance	The Training & Personnel	
	provides for the following:  A. Requires officers to pass		Development Section (TPDS) has developed the annual training	
	training and qualify on each firearm the officer is		referred to as MAT (Miami Agreement Training) and MAST	
	required or authorized to carry out on an annual		(Miami Agreement Supervisory Training) for officers and	
	basis.		supervisors from the rank of	
	B. Immediately comply with and reinforce judicial		Police Sergeant up to Police Captain. The firearms portion of	
	developments in use of force.		the training is included in the MAT section to ensure annual	
	C. Firearm Annual in-Service training		qualifications are met on all sworn personnel active, reserve,	
	(1) Training on when to display/or point		and auxiliary officers. The qualifications include the	
	firearms.		officers' issued sidearm, rifle,	
	(2) Night training.		and or shotgun, as well as their	

Miami Police Department Final Self-Assessment Compliance Report

	Miami Police Departmen	nt Final Self-Assessmer 11, 2020 - March 15, 2	•
	Settlement Agreement Requirement	Compliance Rating	Comments
	(3) Stress training    (undergoing physical    exertion). (4) Use of Force decision-    making (shoot-don't    shoot) training. (5) Continuous threat    assessment techniques. (6) Observe students and    provide corrective    instruction when    deficiencies are    observed. D. Observe students and    provide corrective    instruction when    deficiencies are observed. E. Comprehensive testing on    rules, regulations and skills    regarding firearm use. F. Employ reality-based    incident scenarios 1. Live action 2. Computer simulated    components to improve    defensive tactic training,    limit incident of deadly    force G. Incorporate de-escalation    training and techniques H. Evaluation and Survey		secondary weapon. In addition, TPDS (Training & Personnel Development Section) will also provide training on the revised D.O. 15, Chapter 2 (Firearm Procedures), as well as existing revised SOP's and DO's under the new policy D.O. 15, Chapter 10, referred to as the DOJ Agreement and Standards.
#55	MPD will continue to provide	Substantial Compliance	MPD continues to provide
	mandatory supervisory training for all new first-line supervisors, which shall be completed prior to assuming supervisory responsibilities. In addition, to this initial supervisory training, MPD shall require each first-line supervisor to complete supervisor-specific training annually thereafter.		mandatory supervisory training to officers prior to assuming first-line supervisory responsibilities. MPD members attended First Line Supervisory Training during the 7 <sup>th</sup> self-reporting period from March 4th, 2019 through March 15th, 2019, for the rank of Police Sergeant. The course consisted of eighty (80) hours of instruction of which 40 hours are mandated by FDLE. MPD exceeds

	Settlement Agreement Requirement	Compliance Rating	Comments
	·		1
			the mandated training with an additional forty (40) hours of supplemental classroom instruction.
#56	MPD shall continue to review, develop and maintain mandatory supervisory training for all new second-line supervisors (lieutenants and captains), which shall be completed prior to assuming secondary supervisory responsibilities. Annual training for lieutenants and captains shall provide necessary updates, as well as training in the new skills and training their subordinate officers have received in the past year.	Substantial Compliance	MPD continues to provide mandatory supervisory training for all new second-line supervisors, (lieutenants and captains), prior to assuming second-line supervisory responsibilities. The midmanagement course consisted o forty (40) hours of classroom instructions.
#57	Provide first line supervisors	Substantial Compliance	All first line supervisors from the
	with 40 hours of annual inservice training based on developments in applicable law and MPD policy. This training curriculum shall include the following topics related to Critical Firearm Discharges.  a. MPD's use of deadly force policy and use of force reporting requirements;  b. conducting use of force investigations, including the supervisory investigatory responsibilities;  c. processing and preservation of crime scenes and forensic evidence; d. care and custody of video recordings; e. evaluation of written reports for thoroughness, accuracy, and completeness;		rank of Police Sergeant are mandated to complete the forty (40) hours DOJ agreement training known as MAT (Miami Agreement Training) before attending the forty (40) hours supervisory course known as MAST (Miami Agreement Supervisory Training). In addition, D.O. 15, Chapter 10 (DOJ Agreement and Standards) includes the training information about MAT and MAST in fulfilling the policy requirement.

January 11, 2020 - March 15, 2020		
Settlement Agreement	Compliance Rating	Comments
Requirement		

techniques; and the factors to consider when evaluating officer, complainant, or witness credibility, to ensure that investigative findings, conclusions, and recommendations are unbiased, uniform, and legally sound; g. strategies for effectively directing officers to minimize uses of force and to intervene effectively to prevent or stop unreasonable force; h. responding to and investigating allegations of officer misconduct; i. supporting officers who report unreasonable or unreported force, or who are retaliated against for using only reasonable force or attempting to prevent unreasonable force; j. techniques for effectively guiding and directing officers, and promoting effective and ethical police practices; k. techniques for de-escalating conflict, including peer intervention when necessary; l. evaluating officer performance as part of		
to consider when evaluating officer, complainant, or witness credibility, to ensure that investigative findings, conclusions, and recommendations are unbiased, uniform, and legally sound; g. strategies for effectively directing officers to minimize uses of force and to intervene effectively to prevent or stop unreasonable force; h. responding to and investigating allegations of officer misconduct; i. supporting officers who report unreasonable or unreported force, or who are retaliated against for using only reasonable force; j. techniques for effectively guiding and directing officers, and promoting effective and ethical police practices; k. techniques for de-escalating conflict, including peer intervention when necessary; l. evaluating officer performance as part of	f. burden of proof; interview	
officer, complainant, or witness credibility, to ensure that investigative findings, conclusions, and recommendations are unbiased, uniform, and legally sound; g. strategies for effectively directing officers to minimize uses of force and to intervene effectively to prevent or stop unreasonable force; h. responding to and investigating allegations of officer misconduct; i. supporting officers who report unreasonable or unreported force, or who are retaliated against for using only reasonable force or attempting to prevent unreasonable force; j. techniques for effectively guiding and directing officers, and promoting effective and ethical police practices; k. techniques for de-escalating conflict, including peer intervention when necessary; l. evaluating officer performance as part of	•	
witness credibility, to ensure that investigative findings, conclusions, and recommendations are unbiased, uniform, and legally sound; g. strategies for effectively directing officers to minimize uses of force and to intervene effectively to prevent or stop unreasonable force; h. responding to and investigating allegations of officer misconduct; i. supporting officers who report unreasonable or unreported force, or who are retaliated against for using only reasonable force or attempting to prevent unreasonable force; j. techniques for effectively guiding and directing officers, and promoting effective and ethical police practices; k. techniques for de-escalating conflict, including peer intervention when necessary; l. evaluating officer performance as part of		
that investigative findings, conclusions, and recommendations are unbiased, uniform, and legally sound; g. strategies for effectively directing officers to minimize uses of force and to intervene effectively to prevent or stop unreasonable force; h. responding to and investigating allegations of officer misconduct; i. supporting officers who report unreasonable or unreported force, or who are retaliated against for using only reasonable force or attempting to prevent unreasonable force; j. techniques for effectively guiding and directing officers, and promoting effective and ethical police practices; k. techniques for de-escalating conflict, including peer intervention when necessary; l. evaluating officer performance as part of	· ·	
conclusions, and recommendations are unbiased, uniform, and legally sound; g. strategies for effectively directing officers to minimize uses of force and to intervene effectively to prevent or stop unreasonable force; h. responding to and investigating allegations of officer misconduct; i. supporting officers who report unreasonable or unreported force, or who are retaliated against for using only reasonable force or attempting to prevent unreasonable force; j. techniques for effectively guiding and directing officers, and promoting effective and ethical police practices; k. techniques for de-escalating conflict, including peer intervention when necessary; l. evaluating officer performance as part of		
recommendations are unbiased, uniform, and legally sound; g. strategies for effectively directing officers to minimize uses of force and to intervene effectively to prevent or stop unreasonable force; h. responding to and investigating allegations of officer misconduct; i. supporting officers who report unreasonable or unreported force, or who are retaliated against for using only reasonable force or attempting to prevent unreasonable force; j. techniques for effectively guiding and directing officers, and promoting effective and ethical police practices; k. techniques for de-escalating conflict, including peer intervention when necessary; l. evaluating officer performance as part of		
unbiased, uniform, and legally sound; g. strategies for effectively directing officers to minimize uses of force and to intervene effectively to prevent or stop unreasonable force; h. responding to and investigating allegations of officer misconduct; i. supporting officers who report unreasonable or unreported force, or who are retaliated against for using only reasonable force or attempting to prevent unreasonable force; j. techniques for effectively guiding and directing officers, and promoting effective and ethical police practices; k. techniques for de-escalating conflict, including peer intervention when necessary; l. evaluating officer performance as part of		
legally sound; g. strategies for effectively directing officers to minimize uses of force and to intervene effectively to prevent or stop unreasonable force; h. responding to and investigating allegations of officer misconduct; i. supporting officers who report unreasonable or unreported force, or who are retaliated against for using only reasonable force or attempting to prevent unreasonable force; j. techniques for effectively guiding and directing officers, and promoting effective and ethical police practices; k. techniques for de-escalating conflict, including peer intervention when necessary; l. evaluating officer performance as part of		
g. strategies for effectively directing officers to minimize uses of force and to intervene effectively to prevent or stop unreasonable force; h. responding to and investigating allegations of officer misconduct; i. supporting officers who report unreasonable or unreported force, or who are retaliated against for using only reasonable force or attempting to prevent unreasonable force; j. techniques for effectively guiding and directing officers, and promoting effective and ethical police practices; k. techniques for de-escalating conflict, including peer intervention when necessary; l. evaluating officer performance as part of	•	
directing officers to minimize uses of force and to intervene effectively to prevent or stop unreasonable force; h.responding to and investigating allegations of officer misconduct; i. supporting officers who report unreasonable or unreported force, or who are retaliated against for using only reasonable force or attempting to prevent unreasonable force; j. techniques for effectively guiding and directing officers, and promoting effective and ethical police practices; k. techniques for de-escalating conflict, including peer intervention when necessary; l. evaluating officer performance as part of		
uses of force and to intervene effectively to prevent or stop unreasonable force; h.responding to and investigating allegations of officer misconduct; i. supporting officers who report unreasonable or unreported force, or who are retaliated against for using only reasonable force or attempting to prevent unreasonable force; j. techniques for effectively guiding and directing officers, and promoting effective and ethical police practices; k. techniques for de-escalating conflict, including peer intervention when necessary; l. evaluating officer performance as part of		
effectively to prevent or stop unreasonable force; h.responding to and investigating allegations of officer misconduct; i. supporting officers who report unreasonable or unreported force, or who are retaliated against for using only reasonable force or attempting to prevent unreasonable force; j. techniques for effectively guiding and directing officers, and promoting effective and ethical police practices; k. techniques for de-escalating conflict, including peer intervention when necessary; l. evaluating officer performance as part of	_	
unreasonable force; h.responding to and investigating allegations of officer misconduct; i. supporting officers who report unreasonable or unreported force, or who are retaliated against for using only reasonable force or attempting to prevent unreasonable force; j. techniques for effectively guiding and directing officers, and promoting effective and ethical police practices; k. techniques for de-escalating conflict, including peer intervention when necessary; l. evaluating officer performance as part of		
h.responding to and investigating allegations of officer misconduct; i. supporting officers who report unreasonable or unreported force, or who are retaliated against for using only reasonable force or attempting to prevent unreasonable force; j. techniques for effectively guiding and directing officers, and promoting effective and ethical police practices; k. techniques for de-escalating conflict, including peer intervention when necessary; l. evaluating officer performance as part of		
investigating allegations of officer misconduct; i. supporting officers who report unreasonable or unreported force, or who are retaliated against for using only reasonable force or attempting to prevent unreasonable force; j. techniques for effectively guiding and directing officers, and promoting effective and ethical police practices; k. techniques for de-escalating conflict, including peer intervention when necessary; l. evaluating officer performance as part of	*	
officer misconduct; i. supporting officers who report unreasonable or unreported force, or who are retaliated against for using only reasonable force or attempting to prevent unreasonable force; j. techniques for effectively guiding and directing officers, and promoting effective and ethical police practices; k. techniques for de-escalating conflict, including peer intervention when necessary; l. evaluating officer performance as part of		
i. supporting officers who report unreasonable or unreported force, or who are retaliated against for using only reasonable force or attempting to prevent unreasonable force; j. techniques for effectively guiding and directing officers, and promoting effective and ethical police practices; k. techniques for de-escalating conflict, including peer intervention when necessary; l. evaluating officer performance as part of		
report unreasonable or unreported force, or who are retaliated against for using only reasonable force or attempting to prevent unreasonable force; j. techniques for effectively guiding and directing officers, and promoting effective and ethical police practices; k. techniques for de-escalating conflict, including peer intervention when necessary; l. evaluating officer performance as part of	-	
unreported force, or who are retaliated against for using only reasonable force or attempting to prevent unreasonable force; j. techniques for effectively guiding and directing officers, and promoting effective and ethical police practices; k. techniques for de-escalating conflict, including peer intervention when necessary; l. evaluating officer performance as part of	i. supporting officers who	
retaliated against for using only reasonable force or attempting to prevent unreasonable force; j. techniques for effectively guiding and directing officers, and promoting effective and ethical police practices; k. techniques for de-escalating conflict, including peer intervention when necessary; l. evaluating officer performance as part of	•	
only reasonable force or attempting to prevent unreasonable force; j. techniques for effectively guiding and directing officers, and promoting effective and ethical police practices; k. techniques for de-escalating conflict, including peer intervention when necessary; l. evaluating officer performance as part of	•	
attempting to prevent unreasonable force; j. techniques for effectively guiding and directing officers, and promoting effective and ethical police practices; k. techniques for de-escalating conflict, including peer intervention when necessary; l. evaluating officer performance as part of	_	
unreasonable force; j. techniques for effectively guiding and directing officers, and promoting effective and ethical police practices; k. techniques for de-escalating conflict, including peer intervention when necessary; l. evaluating officer performance as part of	•	
j. techniques for effectively guiding and directing officers, and promoting effective and ethical police practices; k. techniques for de-escalating conflict, including peer intervention when necessary; l. evaluating officer performance as part of		
guiding and directing officers, and promoting effective and ethical police practices; k. techniques for de-escalating conflict, including peer intervention when necessary; l. evaluating officer performance as part of		
and promoting effective and ethical police practices; k. techniques for de-escalating conflict, including peer intervention when necessary; l. evaluating officer performance as part of		
ethical police practices; k. techniques for de-escalating conflict, including peer intervention when necessary; l. evaluating officer performance as part of		
k. techniques for de-escalating conflict, including peer intervention when necessary; I. evaluating officer performance as part of	,	
conflict, including peer intervention when necessary; I. evaluating officer performance as part of		
intervention when necessary; I. evaluating officer performance as part of		
I. evaluating officer performance as part of		
performance as part of	intervention when necessary;	
	_	
	•	
	MPD's annual performance	
evaluation system; and	•	
m. fostering positive career	• .	
development and imposing	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
appropriate disciplinary	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
sanctions and non-		
disciplinary corrective	•	
action.	action.	

Miami Police Department Final Self-Assessment Compliance Report		
January 11, 2020 - March 15, 2020		
Settlement Agreement Requirement	Compliance Rating	Comments

	Kequirement		
450	Duranida all Lianton control d	Colorada matal Conscillator	MADD continues to the children
#58	Provide all Lieutenants and	Substantial Compliance	MPD continues to provide all
	Captains with in-service training		Lieutenants and Captains with in-
	on an annual basis based on		service training on an annual
	developments in applicable law		basis based on developments in
	and MPD policy. The training		applicable law and MPD policy
	curriculum shall include the		via the MAT and MAST training
	following topics related to		program referred to above.
	Critical Firearms Discharges:		Evaluation and recommendation
	a. Incident Management		of the course is captured by TPDS
	i. evaluation of written		in the student course evaluation
	reports;		form to establish quality and
	ii. strategies for effectively		effectiveness of the training.
	directing officers to avoid		
	unnecessary Critical		
	Firearms Discharges;		
	iii. responding to Critical		
	Firearms Discharges; and		
	iv. De-escalating conflict.		
	_		
	b. Community Engagement		
	i. how to engage the		
	community and develop		
	positive relationships with		
	diverse community groups;		
	and		
	ii. How to ensure that		
	community relationships are		
	positive.		
VII. C	ommunity Oversight		,
	onsible Party: Commander Joseph	Pontillo (IT), Attorney Wyso	ong (Police Legal).
Point	of contact: Sgt. Alex Rodriguez (IT	), Attorney Wysong (Police L	egal).
#59	City and MPD will have a	Substantial Compliance	During the reporting period, the
	Community Advisory Board of		CAB (Community Advisory Board)
	civilian City residents provide		met one (1) time on February 6,
	oversight and feedback to MPD		2020. MPD staff regularly
	and the Independent Reviewer.		attended the CAB and CAB
	The board will address concerns		subcommittee meetings.
	to:		
	A. Advise the Chief, majors		
	and commanders on		
	strategies and training to		
	improve community		
	relations and MPD		
	responsiveness.		
L			1

	Miami Police Departmen	nt Final Self-Assessmer 11, 2020 - March 15, 2	•
	Settlement Agreement Requirement	Compliance Rating	Comments
	B. Work with the Chief, majors and commanders to establish and carry out community public safety priorities; C. Provide the community with information on the agreement and its implementation. Receive and convey to MPD and DOJ public comments and concerns, in addition to MPD's civilian complaint system.		
#60	The Community Advisory Board will be in effect within three months of the effective date. The City will establish the number of members and a mechanism to ensure that membership is representative of a cross section of communities in the City of Miami to including; districts, faith communities, minority, ethnic, and other community organizations, and student or youth organization. The City shall set a date by which board members will be selected. The community advisory board will be in effect within three months of the effective date (March 10, 2016). The City will establish the number of members and a mechanism to ensure that membership is representative of a cross section of communities in the City of Miami to include:  A. District  B. Faith  C. Communities  D. Minority	Substantial Compliance	During this reporting period the CAB was in full operational status and met on a regular basis. The CAB has provided feedback to MPD and the Independent Reviewer. There is a webpage link in the MPD intranet system for the CAB to post their reports facilitating public comment and community feedback.

	Miami Police Departme	ent Final Self-Assessmer y 11, 2020 - March 15, 3	
	Settlement Agreement Requirement	Compliance Rating	Comments
	E. Ethnic F. Community organizations G. Students Youth organizations		
#61	MPD facilitate regular public meetings of the community advisory board to discuss DOJ's reports, if any and to receive community feedback about MPD's progress or compliance with the agreement.	Substantial Compliance	During this reporting period, the CAB met one (1) time. Members of the community were present and provided feedback about MPD's compliance report as well as concerns raised by the CAB. Future dates for calendar year 2020 have been allocated for CAB meetings to occur at various locations around the City of Miami to properly address community concerns.
#62	The community board's reports and recommendations will be posted on MPD website. MPD will consider and respond to the community board's recommendations in a timely manner.	Substantial Compliance	MPD previously established a CAB web page link that is posted on the MPD website for the CAB to post reports and recommendations. The CAB is endeavoring to create its own website to fulfill the same purpose. In addition, three (3) separate web page links were created to include a section for the DOJ report that store the DOJ Action Plan, the 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 3 <sup>rd</sup> , 4 <sup>th</sup> , 5 <sup>th</sup> , 6 <sup>th</sup> , 7 <sup>th</sup> , 8 <sup>th</sup> and Final Self-Assessment reports, a web page for all MPD Departmental Orders, Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) and a web page which includes the MPD Departmental Orders with an addendum for the public to make comments about the policies.

	Miami Police Department Final Self-Assessment Compliance Report			
	Settlement Agreement Requirement	cy 11, 2020 - March 15, 2 Compliance Rating	Comments	
	·			
#63	The City will provide the community board with reasonable administrative support, including meeting space.	Substantial Compliance	The City has provided the Community Advisory Board with reasonable administrative support, including meeting space. Additional support will be allocated when additional resources are required.	
#64	The civilian community board will not review or report on specific cases of alleged misconduct, review or comment on discipline, and will not seek to influence the course or outcome of a specific complaint investigation or the discipline of specific officers. The community board will not have access to any non-public information regarding an individual officer or allegation of misconduct or disciplinary action.		During this reporting period, CAB understood their role and were reminded of the following directives:  The civilian community board will not review or report on specific cases of alleged misconduct, review or comment on discipline and will not seek to influence the course or outcome of a specific complaint investigation or the discipline of specific officers. The community board will not have access to any non-public information regarding an individual officer or allegation of misconduct or disciplinary action.	
#65	The City may use the Community Relations Board to fulfill the requirements of this Section of the Agreement if they are able to meet the requirements herein.	Substantial Compliance	Not applicable now because the CAB is adequately fulfilling its purpose, powers and duties.	
Resp	Compliance Assessment onsible Party: Major Jose Gonzal of contact: Capt. S. MacDonald			
#66	Within 45 days of the effective date, MPD will identify a Compliance Coordinator who is a member of MPD to serve as the single point of contact with DOJ and the Independent	Substantial Compliance	Major Jose Gonzalez from the Professional Compliance Section continues to be the single point of contact with the Independent Reviewer. Major Gonzalez replaced Major Perez in February	

=	ertment Final Self-Assessr	<u> </u>
Settlement Agreement Requirement	Compliance Rating	Comments
Reviewer. The Compliant Coordinator will: coordinator and implementation activities facilitate access to MPD personnel and provide dadocuments, and material DOJ as needed; ensure the data, documents and recoare maintained as provide this Agreement; and assist assigning implementation compliance-related tasks MPD personnel, as direct the Chief of Police or his designee.	ate s; ata, s to ata all ords ed in st in and to	2018 and has been making regular contact via email with the Independent Reviewer and has met with the Independent Reviewer during his visits to the Miami Police Department on February 4-6, 2020.
#67 Within four months from effective date, and every months thereafter until the Agreement is terminated City will provide to DOJ at monitor a Self-Assessmer Compliance Report indicated whether the City has read one of three levels of compliance with this Agreement: Substantial Compliance, Partial Compliance, or Non-Compliance.	six his , the nd the nt ating	On July 10, 2016, MPD provided the Independent Reviewer with the 1st Self-Assessment report. The 2nd Self-Assessment report was submitted on January 10, 2017. The 3rd Self-Assessment report was submitted on or prior to July 10, 2017. The 4th Self-Assessment report was submitted on or prior to January 10, 2018. The 5th Self-Assessment report was submitted on or prior to July 10, 2018. The 6th Self-Assessment report was submitted on January 10, 2019. The 7th Self-Assessment report was submitted on July 10, 2019. The 8th Self-Assessment report was submitted on January 10, 2020. This Final Self-Assessment report was submitted for the period of January 11, 2020 - March 15, 2020.
#68 The self-assessment compliance report will inconstitute sections:	Substantial Complian	MPD Self-Assessment compliance report for the 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> 3 <sup>rd</sup> , 4 <sup>th</sup> , 5 <sup>th</sup> , 6 <sup>th</sup> , 7 <sup>th</sup> , 8 <sup>th</sup> and the

	<u>-</u>	ent Final Self-Assessmer ary 11, 2020 - March 15,	
	Settlement Agreement Requirement	Compliance Rating	Comments
	A. The steps MPD and the City have taken during the reporting period to implement this Agreement B. Plans to correct any problems or lack of compliance; C. A response to any concerns raised by United States DO regarding the City's previous Compliance Report; D. A projection of the work to be completed during the upcoming reporting period E. Any anticipated challenges or concerns related to implementation of the Agreement; and F. A summary of documents relied on for statistical purposes or general data a the basis for selfassessment, if applicable.	;;	Final Self-Assessments have included the steps the City and MPD took during the reporting periods, plans to correct any concerns or lack of compliance, a response to any concerns raised by the United States DOJ regarding the city's previous compliance report, projection of work to be completed during the reporting period, and any anticipated concerns and a summary for statistical purposes or general data for Self-Assessment.  MPD continues to address all recommendations included in the Independent Reviewer's (IR) report. Furthermore, PCS (Professional Compliance Section) through its DOJ Compliance Coordinator continues to maintain a working relationship with its points of contacts from MPD's respective divisions to acquire the necessary information to meet and fulfill the DOJ agreement's provisions.
#69	The Compliance Penert shall	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
#03	The Compliance Report shall exclude assessments of the sections of the Agreement for which the Independent Reviewer has already determined MPD and the City to be in Substantial Compliance.		Not Applicable
#70	The DOJ will collaborate with	Substantial Camplians	MPD has collaborated with DOJ
#/U	MPD in revising any policies, procedures, or practices relating to the use of force the DOJ deems to be deficient.	Substantial Compliance	in revising policies, procedures or practices relating to the use of force that the DOJ deems to be deficient. During the previous reporting period, MPD had

Miami Police Department Final Self-Assessment Compliance Report  January 11, 2020 - March 15, 2020		
Settlement Agreement Requirement	Compliance Rating	Comments
		revised and officially approved through a PRC (Policy Review Committee) hearing the Use of Force policy D.O. 6, Chapter 2 to meet DOJ requirements relating to this agreement. During this reporting period, MPD implemented D.O. 6, Chapter 15 (Staffing Detail) to reinforce the DOJ Agreement. The policy has been forwarded to the DOJ for their collaborative.